

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/786,474	02/26/2004	Hideyuki Koguchi	Q78008	9310	
2337 7590 77/15/2008 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYL-VANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			TENTONI, LEO B		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	. ,	1791			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/15/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/786,474 KOGUCHI, HIDEYUKI Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Leo B. Tentoni 1791 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 February 2008 and 17 March 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 and 31-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 and 31-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/786,474

Art Unit: 1791

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-20 and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In claims 1, 5, 9-11, 19 and 20, the newly-added limitation of undulations "with different heights" (or "with the different heights") is not supported by the originally-filed specification and thus, constitutes new matter. In claim 1, last two lines, the newly-added limitation of the first height acquired prior to the start of forming a lamination image is not supported by the originally-filed specification and thus, constitutes new matter. The limitations set forth in newly-added claims 31-33 are not supported by the originally-filed specification and thus, constitute new matter.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/786,474 Art Unit: 1791

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-20 and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yagi (JP 11-263004 A) for the reasons of record.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed on 14 February 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. Applicant argues (pages 9 and 10) that Yagi does not teach the step of "forming a lamination image . . . based on said acquired first height information" as recited in instant claim 1 and that Yagi requires melting of at least the toner particles. Examiner responds that Yagi does teach the step of forming a lamination image because the particles of Yagi (e.g., ink, toner) are solid when applied (just as in the instant process) and any melting occurs during the fixing step of Yagi (note that the fixing step of instant claim 1 does not exclude melting).
- 7. Applicant argues (page 10) that Yagi uses gradation technology to change the waveform of the drive (and the like) of the printing signal to control the amount of deposited ink.
 Examiner responds that while the mechanics (and apparatus) of

Application/Control Number: 10/786,474 Art Unit: 1791

Yagi may differ from that of the instant invention, Yagi still teaches controlling the amount of material added to an object (during lamination) by using gradation technology, which involves adjusting the degree of irregularities (e.g., surface features of the object, due to height differences or undulations) in the object and adjusting the amount of material added to the object.

- 8. Applicant argues (page 11) that Yagi does not teach that the ink contains a thermoplastic solid or ultraviolet cure ink.

 Examiner responds that Yagi teaches an ink which contains a thermoplastic solid because Yagi teaches that the ink melts (during fixation) and this melting suggests that the particles are thermoplastic in nature.
- 9. Applicant argues (pages 11 and 12) that the limitations of instant claims 9 and 11 are not taught by, nor inherent in, Yagi. Examiner responds that these limitation sare inherent in Yagi because Yagi teaches controlling the amount of material added to an object (during lamination) by using gradation technology, which involves adjusting the degree of irregularities (e.g., surface features of the object, due to height differences or undulations) in the object and adjusting the amount of material added to the object.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is Application/Control Number: 10/786,474
Art Unit: 1791

reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leo B. Tentoni whose telephone number is (571) 272-1209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30 A.M. - 3:00 P.M.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina A. Johnson can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Leo B. Tentoni/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791